

## NODES10: Template for compiling recommendations and statements

	Secretariat	Nodes
Decentralization/Regionalization		The regional nodes group for Europe will have a European focus including thematic areas and with Pan-European scope for activities and projects.
Community dimension	<p>Hannu: The GBIF-S should secure that the legacy of nodes documents etc. are kept. Either the original version being kept on CIRCA and at least updated versions being migrated to the new comm. Portal</p> <p>Mihail: The availability of older tools and related documentation should be secured as well.</p> <p>All: Therefore GBIF-S should come up with a strategy to secure docs., tools and documentation.</p>	<p>All: The community platform: We would like a way to measure – a review point but also an ongoing a monitoring system – to see whether people are using it. How well is it working on a regional level?</p> <p>Guy: The CIRCA portal did not work very well – will this one work?</p> <p>All: We agree that we will try it out and then to review it – we do that our selves.</p>
Communications		We need moderators for the different topics at the Comm.portal.
IPT (publishing tool)	<p>We would like a migration plan from the previous repository tool developed by GBIF to the present IPT.</p> <p>The IPT should be developed further based on input from the testing phase.</p>	<p>Nodes need to help GBIF-S-IT to point out what these tools are.</p> <p>A review of the IPT: Being done by our region and presented to the GBIF-S.</p>
HIT (indexing tool)	<p>We like to see what is released and to review it and be given the chance to feed our recommendations back to the GBIF-S.</p> <p>What will the impact of implementing the HIT be for a node – in terms of time and human resources.</p>	
NPT (nodes portal toolkit)	We would like to have the advisory group meeting this year.	European nodes group needs to find a way to communicate on what input we would like to provide the NPT advisory group with.

		<p>Mihail C. (liaise with EU countries), Guy B.? (liaise with N.America) and Bruno <i>and at least one more</i> (liaise with intern. Organisations) volunteer to serve on the advisory group, and provide advice on behalf of the EU nodes group, the N.America and the org., and disseminate to the nodes.</p> <p>IT development resources were offered by France, NordGen, and Denmark.</p>
Metadata		<p>We need clear targets for what we want to do with the metadata and for what the metadata will be used.</p> <p>We are all willing to share our metadata.</p> <p>Action: Set up a function to secure proper metadata and data from bigger demand-driven global initiatives (such as the previous Millenium Assessment and the International Polar Year, where data legacy is not good). Next opotunity where this function should be in place would be in connection with next years <b>International Year for Biodiversity</b> – Secure good metadata and that data ends up being served through GBIF!</p>
Beyond occurrence data (Species, Lit., etc.)		
Other Technical development	Taxonomic tools - e.g. to be able to match species names within country and across countries is much needed – the Ubio- and other suites of tools need to be reviewed and adopted and then disseminated / advertised.	The European region would like to be part of the review and the development process of the taxonomic tools.
Allocation of	N/A	

investments		
Data mobilization		<p>The European region would like to collaborate on mobilizing EU data with NPT dissemination</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– e.g. Article 17 species</li> </ul> <p>Arctic data</p> <p>Civil Scientist portal at the European level</p> <p>Bringing more participants and data into the group</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– e.g. the Plant Genetic Resources Thematic Network and data.</li> </ul> <p>Pan-European species Atlas (long term)</p> <p>Article 17 species</p> <p>Getting to the bottom with how reporting happened within our country, what data sources were used, whether they have gone into GBIF and if not why and can we make them go in?</p> <p>Steve W. See that it's done = encouragement.</p>
.....		<p>EU nodes should take advantage of the INSPIRE EU project – including the topics in the Annexes, e.g. Annex III.</p>
Data use		<p>How to move on from the 2010 goal – post 2010 targets?</p>

1.....